1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8		
9	ARVIN PETTY,	
10	Plaintiff, v.	Case No. C12-232-JCC-BAT
11	BILL ELFO, et al.,	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
12	Defendants.	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	Defendants.	
14	On February 10, 2012, plaintiff submitted to the Court for filing a civil rights complaint	
15	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 together with an application to proceed with this action in forma	
16	pauperis. (See Dkt. Nos. 4 and 6.) On February 14, 2012, before the Court had taken any action	
17	with respect to plaintiff's complaint and application to proceed in forma pauperis, the Court	
18	received from plaintiff a motion to withdraw his complaint and a request to return his previously	
19	submitted documents. (See Dkt. No. 7.) Plaintiff's motion was treated as a notice of voluntary	
20	dismissal and the case was closed and documents were returned.	
21	On February 24, 2012, the Court received from plaintiff a petition for injunctive relief	
22	which resulted in the case being reopened. (Dkt. No. 8.) Thereafter, on March 8, 2012, this	
23	Court issued an Order granting plaintiff's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and	
	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1	

an Order declining to serve plaintiff's complaint and granting him leave to amend. (Dkt. Nos. 9 and 11.) These Orders were mailed to plaintiff at his address of record, the Whatcom County Jail. On March 15, 2012, this mail was returned by the post office with a notation indicating that plaintiff was no longer in jail. (Dkt. No. 13.) To date, plaintiff has not provided the Court with a new address.

Because over sixty days have passed since mail directed to plaintiff at his address of record was returned by the Post Office, and because plaintiff has not notified the Court of his current address, this action should be **DISMISSED**, without prejudice, for failure to prosecute pursuant to Local Rule CR 41(b)(2). A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

Any objections to this Recommendation must be filed and served upon all parties no later than **June 28, 2012.** If no objections are filed, the matter will be ready for the Court's consideration on **June 29, 2012**. Objections and responses shall not exceed 10 pages. The failure to timely object may affect the right to appeal.

BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA

United States Magistrate Judge

DATED this 7th day of June, 2012.

22

23

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 2